5 September 2017
Reference: F0003303

Dear [Name]

I am writing in respect of your request of 20 July 2017, for the release of information held by the Civil Aviation Authority (CAA). Please accept my apologies for the delay in responding to the request.

Your request:

'Please provide me with information, questions or correspondence the CAA has received from Alphabet Inc. or its subsidiary Google in relation to the flights of UAVs (drones) in UK airspace.

Please also provide me with correspondence between the CAA and Amazon Inc, dating from January 1 2017 to July 20 2017, regarding operations of UAVs (drones) in UK airspace.

Finally, please can you provide me with an current list of approved EVLOS and BVLOS UAV (drone) operators in the UK.’

Our response:

Having considered your request in line with the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA), we are able to provide the information below.

The CAA has not received any information, questions or correspondence from Alphabet Inc. or its subsidiary Google, in relation to the flights of UAVs in the UK. Please find attached copies of communications that have taken place between Amazon and the CAA dating from 1 January 2017 to 20 July 2017. You will find that some information has either been removed or redacted, it is the CAA’s view that the information should not be released for the reasons set out below. A copy of all relevant exemptions under FOIA can be found at the end of this letter.
**Section 44 – Prohibitions on disclosure**

We have not included any information that has been submitted to us by Amazon in support of their application for an exemption or permission from the CAA. The release of information that was provided to the CAA as part of the application requirements to obtain a permission under, or an exemption from, the Air Navigation Order 2009 is prohibited from disclosure by Section 23 of the Civil Aviation Act 1982. Under Section 23, any information which relates to a particular person or organisation, and has been furnished to the CAA pursuant to an Air Navigation Order, must not be disclosed by the CAA unless such disclosure is authorised by one of the exceptions contained in Section 23 itself.

Section 44(1)(a) of the FOIA provides that information is exempt if its disclosure is prohibited by, or under any enactment and Section 23 of the Civil Aviation Act is such a statutory prohibition. Section 44 is an absolute exemption and is not subject to the public interest test.

**Section 43 – Commercial interest**

The CAA’s view is that disclosure would reveal unique information relating to Amazon’s drone operations and is commercially sensitive. Such information is exempt under Section 43(2) of the FOIA, which covers information where disclosure would, or would be likely to, prejudice the commercial interest of any person. A person may be an individual, company, the public authority itself or any other legal entity.

Release of this information into the public domain would make commercially sensitive information about Amazon’s drone operations available to competitors, revealing Amazon’s operational strategies, which has the potential to be exploited by competitors. Disclosure would therefore prejudice the commercial interests of Amazon by affecting their ability to compete fairly.

As Section 43 is a qualified exemption, we have also considered whether, in all the circumstances of the case, the public interest in withholding the information outweighs the public interest in disclosure.

The public interest in disclosing the information include the general principles of transparency and open government, the public right of access to information held and how the CAA performs its regulatory roles. The CAA recognises that with the increased use of drones over recent years, there is a broader public interest in how the CAA considers applications for the use of drones.

However, there is a strong public interest in allowing companies to carry out their commercial activities, free from outside pressures and in a fair competitive environment, without having their work exploited by competitors.

Having considered the factors on both sides the CAA has concluded that, in relation to the information redacted in accordance with Section 43(2), the public interest in disclosure is outweighed by the public interest in maintaining the exemption and the information has, therefore, been withheld.

**Section 41 – Information provided in confidence**

In addition, we have also redacted information on page 7 and 23 as it relates to information to be discussed at planned meetings and we consider that the information itself holds the necessary quality of confidence and therefore, should be protected. Disclosure would be a
breach of that confidence and this information is therefore exempt from disclosure under section 41 of the FOIA.

**Section 40 – Personal Information**

We have redacted personal data in accordance with Section 40(2) of the FOIA as to release the information would be unfair to the individuals concerned and would therefore contravene the first data protection principle that personal data shall be processed fairly and lawfully. Section 40 is an absolute exemption and is not subject to the public interest test.

**EVLOS and BVLOS Operators**

Please find attached a list of operators who have been approved to conduct EVLOS and BVLOS operations in the UK.

If you are not satisfied with how we have dealt with your request in the first instance you should approach the CAA in writing at:-

Caroline Chalk  
Head of External Information Services  
Civil Aviation Authority  
Aviation House  
Gatwick Airport South  
Gatwick  
RH6 0YR  

caroline.chalk@caa.co.uk

The CAA has a formal internal review process for dealing with appeals or complaints in connection with Freedom of Information requests. The key steps in this process are set in the attachment.

Should you remain dissatisfied with the outcome you have a right under Section 50 of the FOIA to appeal against the decision by contacting the Information Commissioner at:-

Information Commissioner’s Office  
FOI/EIR Complaints Resolution  
Wycliffe House  
Water Lane  
Wilmslow  
SK9 5AF  
https://ico.org.uk/concerns/

If you wish to request further information from the CAA, please use the form on the CAA website at http://publicapps.caa.co.uk/modalapplication.aspx?appid=24.

Yours sincerely

Rihanne Stephen  
Information Rights Officer
CAA INTERNAL REVIEW & COMPLAINTS PROCEDURE

- The original case to which the appeal or complaint relates is identified and the case file is made available;
- The appeal or complaint is allocated to an Appeal Manager, the appeal is acknowledged and the details of the Appeal Manager are provided to the applicant;
- The Appeal Manager reviews the case to understand the nature of the appeal or complaint, reviews the actions and decisions taken in connection with the original case and takes account of any new information that may have been received. This will typically require contact with those persons involved in the original case and consultation with the CAA Legal Department;
- The Appeal Manager concludes the review and, after consultation with those involved with the case, and with the CAA Legal Department, agrees on the course of action to be taken;
- The Appeal Manager prepares the necessary response and collates any information to be provided to the applicant;
- The response and any necessary information is sent to the applicant, together with information about further rights of appeal to the Information Commissioners Office, including full contact details.
Freedom of Information Act: Section 44

(1) Information is exempt information if its disclosure (otherwise than under this Act) by the public authority holding it- 

   (a) is prohibited by or under any enactment,  
   (b) is incompatible with any Community obligation, or  
   (c) would constitute or be punishable as a contempt of court.

(2) The duty to confirm or deny does not arise if the confirmation or denial that would have to be given to comply with section 1(1)(a) would (apart from this Act) fall within any of paragraphs (a) to (c) of subsection (1).

Freedom of Information Act: Section 43

(1) Information is exempt information if it constitutes a trade secret.

(2) Information is exempt information if its disclosure under this Act would, or would be likely to, prejudice the commercial interests of any person (including the public authority holding it).

(3) The duty to confirm or deny does not arise if, or to the extent that, compliance with section 1(1)(a) would, or would be likely to, prejudice the interests mentioned in section (2).

Freedom of Information Act: Section 41

(1) Information is exempt information if- 

   (a) it was obtained by the public authority from any other person (including another public authority), and  
   (b) the disclosure of the information to the public (otherwise than under this Act) by the public authority holding it would constitute a breach of confidence actionable by that or any other person.

(2) The duty to confirm or deny does not arise if, or to the extent that, the confirmation or denial that would have to be given to comply with section 1(1)(a) would (apart from this Act) constitute an actionable breach of confidence.

Freedom of Information Act: Section 40

(1) Any information to which a request for information relates is exempt information if it constitutes personal data of which the applicant is the data subject.

(2) Any information to which a request for information relates is also exempt information if- 

   (a) it constitutes personal data which do not fall within subsection (1), and  
   (b) either the first or the second condition below is satisfied.

(3) The first condition is- 

   (a) in a case where the information falls within any of paragraphs (a) to (d) of the definition of "data" in section 1(1) of the Data Protection Act 1998, that the disclosure of the information to a member of the public otherwise than under this Act would contravene-
(i) any of the data protection principles, or
(ii) section 10 of that Act (right to prevent processing likely to cause
damage or distress), and

(b) in any other case, that the disclosure of the information to a member of the
public otherwise than under this Act would contravene any of the data
protection principles if the exemptions in section 33A(1) of the Data
Protection Act 1998 (which relate to manual data held by public authorities)
were disregarded.

(4) The second condition is that by virtue of any provision of Part IV of the Data Protection
Act 1998 the information is exempt from section 7(1)(c) of that Act (data subject's right of
access to personal data).

(5) The duty to confirm or deny-

(a) does not arise in relation to information which is (or if it were held by the
public authority would be) exempt information by virtue of subsection (1),
and

(b) does not arise in relation to other information if or to the extent that either-

(i) the giving to a member of the public of the confirmation or denial that
would have to be given to comply with section 1(1)(a) would (apart from
this Act) contravene any of the data protection principles or section 10
of the Data Protection Act 1998 or would do so if the exemptions in
section 33A(1) of that Act were disregarded, or
(ii) by virtue of any provision of Part IV of the Data Protection Act 1998 the
information is exempt from section 7(1)(a) of that Act (data subject's
right to be informed whether personal data being processed).

(6) In determining for the purposes of this section whether anything done before 24th
October 2007 would contravene any of the data protection principles, the exemptions in
Part III of Schedule 8 to the Data Protection Act 1998 shall be disregarded.

(7) In this section-
"the data protection principles" means the principles set out in Part I of Schedule 1
to the Data Protection Act 1998, as read subject to Part II of that Schedule and
section 27(1) of that Act;
"data subject" has the same meaning as in section 1(1) of that Act;
"personal data" has the same meaning as in section 1(1) of that Act.
No worries. We look forward to seeing you Tuesday. Same location as last year.

Hi [Name],

Sorry for the slight delay in getting back to you, I’ve been out a lot.

The final CAA names for next Tuesday are myself, [Name] and [Name]. We’ll be travelling together and will be aiming to get there for 1030. I’m assuming that it is the same location as last year?

Intelligence, Strategy and Policy
Safety and Airspace Regulation Group
Civil Aviation Authority
Aviation House
Gatwick Airport South
W Sussex
RH6 0YR
Tel: [Number]
E-mail: [Email]

Thanks [Name]. Keep us posted. Look forward to the visit.

Thanks [Name],

I’ll check with the others and confirm names shortly. [Name] and [Name] are not
now able to come.

Regarding the timings, this seems fine for now. ⬛ and I are in Cologne all day on Monday – we’ll aim to get there for 1030 but obviously we can’t guarantee the traffic.

Just confirming that we are still on for a site visit on October 25th. CAA attendees are as follows:

We are proposing that you arrive at 1030 with a planned departure of no later than 1230. If this work let me know and I’ll formalize the agenda.

Arrival / Team Greeting / Introduction: 1030 – 1045
Safety Briefing / Facility Tour: 1046 – 1130
Flight / Support Crew Introductions: 1131 – 1134
Flight Observations: 1135 – 1215 (pending weather)
Facility Departure: 1230

“Don’t confuse motion with action” – B. Franklin
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Hope you’re doing well. I’ve tried calling to follow-up on the questions. Please give me a call at your convenience.

As requested I’m reaching out as a follow-up to our discussion on Monday. I’ll give you a call sometime tomorrow to discuss the proposal in additional detail. In the interim let me know if you need any specific points clarified. Thanks again for your time. Talk to you soon.

Glad you’re feeling better. As we discussed this morning, I’ll give you a call Friday to talk further about the proposal and timelines. Additionally, keep an eye out for an invite for the 9th March. Talk to you soon.

Hi,

I’m sorry that I haven’t got back to you yet. I was away in Cologne with EASA Weds/Thurs last week and then went down with some sort of flu like illness which wiped me out over the weekend and I’m not completely back up to speed as yet.

I’ve got a few other urgent matters to get on with at the moment, so can you give me a couple of days and then get back in touch?
Intelligence, Strategy and Policy
Safety and Airspace Regulation Group
Civil Aviation Authority
Aviation House
Gatwick Airport South
W Sussex
RH6 0YR
Tel: 
E-mail: @caa.co.uk

From: [mailto:amazon.co.uk]
Sent: 17 February 2017 09:44
To: 
Subject: RE:

Morning,

Just following up regarding the email below. Tried calling, rather than going through email.
Please feel free to give me a call on my mobile at your convenience ( ). Talk to you soon.

From: 
Sent: Monday, February 13, 2017 4:31 PM
To: @caa.co.uk>
Subject: Exemption Amendment Question


Happy 2017! Several months back we had a brief conversation relating to flying VLOS under our current permissions. That yielded a suggestion to . However, after reviewing our exemption we feel we can to include these, rather than a .

Unfortunately in the approved ANO exemption, the letter specifically references that flights need to be conducted in accordance with unless varied by that permission or amendments to that permission. We have since been providing you with (as previously agreed), but with us up to we may start to have .

Please let me know your thoughts on this approach.

Are you available Wednesday afternoon to have a brief phone call on the topic?
Additionally, [redacted] will be in town on the 9th of March and hoping to set a meeting to discuss our 2017 approach. Let me know if your available.

Mobile – [redacted]
Office – [redacted]

“Don’t confuse motion with action” – B. Franklin

THIS MESSAGE PROPRIETARY & CONFIDENTIAL

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
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---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
This meeting has been canceled due to conflicts. We will be re-scheduling shortly.

-----Original Appointment-----

From:

Sent: Friday, March 03, 2017 10:32 AM

To:

Subject: DfT / CAA / Prime Air Sync

When: Thursday, March 09, 2017 3:00 PM-5:00 PM (UTC+00:00) Dublin, Edinburgh, Lisbon, London.

Where:

Agenda:

- Introduction(s) - All
- Customer trial update – Prime Air
- EASA draft regulations – Prime Air
- UK drone consultation doc – Prime Air
- Unmanned Traffic Management – Prime Air
- UK Government Drone Program – DfT
- Additional Topics (as needed)

==============Conference Bridge Information==============

You have been invited to join a conference bridge with this information:

Conference ID: 
Conference Password (PIN): 
Join via phone (UK): tel: 
Join via phone (US toll free): 

* United Kingdom (Additional Numbers)
Enjoy the weekend.

- Sent for Nexus Device
On Mar 17, 2017 4:54 PM, <caa.co.uk> wrote:
  Thanks, all noted.

Intelligence, Strategy and Policy
Safety and Airspace Regulation Group
Civil Aviation Authority
Aviation House
Gatwick Airport South
W Sussex
RH6 0YR
Tel: [tel:]
E-mail: @caa.co.uk

Wanted to give you a heads up that we submitted Please don’t hesitate to contact me with any questions. Thanks.

| Mobile – |
| Office – |

“Don’t confuse motion with action” – B. Franklin

**************************************************
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*******************************************************************************
No worries. Thanks again.

Yes ok, I must admit that your message about a visit had slipped my mind too, so I’ll take another look at it.

Thank you very much for sending this our way. I really appreciate all your help and coordination on this.

No worries on the delay, I know lots of threads are running parallel on your end. Once the “official” exemption is sent perhaps we could sync over the phone to coordinate a future visit. Talk to you soon.

Just a quick note to let you know that the new Exemption has been signed and will be sent to
you in the next day or so. However, I’ve attached a copy of the word document for your information.

Until the actual document arrives, please take this message as your approval to operate in accordance with the conditions stated.

I’m sorry for the delay; I only got back from leave today and then discovered (via a message from [Name] at the DfT) that the approval had not been sent out to you yet.

Intelligence, Strategy and Policy
Safety and Airspace Regulation Group
Civil Aviation Authority
Aviation House
Gatwick Airport South
W Sussex
RH6 0YR
Tel: [Number]
E-mail: [Email]

**********************************************************************
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**********************************************************************
Hi [Name],
Hope all is well in your world. I need to chat with you very briefly if at all possible- would u be free for a quick call in about 20 mins?

Thanks,

[Name]

From my mobile
Agree. I will be directly reaching out to [Redacted] to alleviate the “middle man” syndrome. The same applies to [Redacted] and [Redacted] from your corporate communications team. [Redacted] from PR will be reaching out to them directly regarding attendance. At this point in time our attendee list is as follows:

- [Redacted]
- [Redacted]
- [Redacted]
- [Redacted]
- [Redacted]
- [Redacted]
- [Redacted]

I’ll shoot you a proposed schedule in the coming weeks. Look forward to having you and the team on the 25th of October.

Talk to you soon.

Ok that’s fine,

If [Redacted] wants to liaise/discuss to [Redacted] (AAIB) directly, then his email address is [Redacted]. To be quite honest, they might as well just talk to each other and sort things out rather than use me and/or you as ‘go-betweens’

Thanks for sharing the CAA attendees. Regarding the AAIB attendee, [Redacted] should be reaching out to you soon to gather some additional details.

It was very helpful. We will be acting on this ASAP.
I will put together a visit schedule in the coming weeks and send it over.

From: [mailto: @caa.co.uk]  
Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2016 3:18 PM  
To: @amazon.co.uk>  
Subject: 20160914-RE: Filming Requirements / Facility Visit

Thanks,  

This is the list of people that I am considering visiting (ie. this is the maximum number of people, some may not be able to turn up in the end)

- the CAA’s UAS Policy team  
- the CAA’s small UAS approvals  
- CAA Corporate Comms (Press Office)

- AAIB  

All are bound by the same confidentiality requirements.

Hope that helps?
As long as its weather permitting we will fly for sure. If the weather does not cooperate we will make it worthwhile. The facility update is worth the trip alone. October 25th is blocked off in our schedule. Once you have an attendee list and confirmations we will put together a formal schedule.

Regarding the attendees, we are aiming to maintain a high level of transparency so a slight extension of the CAA attendee list is ok on our end (as long as bound by same confidentiality requirements). We just request you provide a list of the attendees so we can prepare accordingly. I’m still pending a repose regarding the AAIB attendee, but don’t foresee this being an issue. I’ll let you know asap.

Sorry for the slight delay in replying – Yes, Tues 25 Oct sounds to be good so let’s plan for that. I’ll circulate the date round the team and will let you know who is able to attend – obviously though, we would really wish to see some flying, so if it looks like the test schedule will preclude this, then we would prefer to select another date. Anyway, let’s start a plan for the 25th.
The staff members that I’ll be looking to bring will be similar to last time – the UAS policy team and the UAS approval team (ie. the people you will have directly been involved with), but I might look to extending this to one or two of our comms team as well (as they were closely involved with responding to questions following your Press release in the summer) – again, the aim is for us to keep up a level of awareness so that we are properly informed.

You may recall that I had a request from one of my colleagues at the AAIB (NTSB equivalent) if he could attend as well. Before I mention the date to him, did you manage to get any feelings for this being ok? He is bound by exactly the same confidentiality requirements as we are (probably tighter ones actually).

Tuesday October 25th for a site visit will work well for the team. Let me know the availability/attendance roster, and we will solidify/formalize the visit.

To avoid weather being a blocker, we will build flexibility into the days plan. Depending on our test schedule and progress we may not be flying on that day. As such we will focus on giving a detailed tour of the facility, show you our vehicles (if not flying), and give you a video overview of our operation (if not flying). Regardless we will ensure the visit provides value and insight into our operational rigor and workflow.

Look forward to getting the ball rolling.
Hi [Name],

Looking at your suggested dates, from a personal perspective, apart from 19, 20, 28 and 31 October, any of those dates are fine with me at the moment. I haven’t checked up on other people’s dates however as it will get too complicated.

It’s unlikely that we would manage to get everyone together and so it is probably better for you to select a day (with a ‘spare’ for the weather perhaps) and we will see how many people we can get free – as I mentioned of course, don’t start basing your filming activities around our availability though.

[Name],

Just following up relating to an update on the [Name]. Any progress?

Additionally, it looks like the week of October 12th – 14th (site visit) there is an unfortunate conflict. How about sometime between October 17th – 31st? Let us know what works best for the team.

Thanks for getting back to me. It was very helpful. My comments are in line:
1. No, from our perspective, you do not need to have any additional authorisations to film your aircraft – Excellent. Thanks for the clarification.

2. Yes, we would still be interested in visiting your test site (we were of course originally planning to visit on 1 Jun anyway). If a visit can be combined with the filming of your video, then this would be fine, but we would not want you to start basing the timing of your filming activities around us – if we can make it on the specified date, then fine. If not, perhaps we can look to scheduling a visit at another time? Do you have a planned date for the filming yet? It would be a similar group from the CAA as we planned last time (plus a couple of new people too). I’ve also had a request from a member of our Air Accident Investigation Branch (UK equivalent of the NTSB) to visit too if possible? He would obviously be kept under strict confidentiality rules too. – At this time we don’t have a date set in stone for filming but looks like it will be sometime in mid-October (12th – 14th). In terms of attendance I would anticipate a similar group as was previously agreed. If you could provide an updated list of potential attendees available between October 12th – 14th, I’ll start organizing the visit. In terms of AAIB attendance let me vet this through the appropriate channels.

3. Regarding your [___], we hope to get the exemption completed and back to you very soon. We just need [___] to find a bit of spare time this week to make a final check from his perspective – Thanks for the update. Ill follow-up next week. Please don’t hesitate to contact me if the team has any comments or questions.

From: [mailto:..................@caa.co.uk]
Sent: Wednesday, August 24, 2016 10:42 AM
To: [mailto:..................@amazon.co.uk>]; [mailto:..................@caa.co.uk>]
Cc: [mailto:..................@ca.co.uk>]
Subject: 20160824-RE: Filming Requirements / Facility Visit

Hi [___],

Thanks for your message, [___] is a bit busy at the moment with other stuff so I’ll reply instead – regarding your questions:

1. No, from our perspective, you do not need to have any additional authorisations to film your aircraft

2. Yes, we would still be interested in visiting your test site (we were of course originally planning to visit on 1 Jun anyway). If a visit can be combined with the filming of your video, then this would be fine, but we would not want you to start basing the timing of your filming activities around us – if we can make it on the specified date, then fine. If not, perhaps we can look to scheduling a visit at another time? Do you have a planned date for the filming yet? It would be a similar group from the CAA as we planned last time (plus a couple of new people too). I’ve also had a request from a member of our Air Accident Investigation Branch (UK equivalent of the NTSB) to visit too if possible? He would obviously be kept under strict confidentiality rules too.

3. Regarding your [___], we hope to get the exemption completed and back to you very soon. We just need [___] to find a bit of spare time this week to make a final check from his perspective
Hope that helps?

Intelligence, Strategy and Policy
Safety and Airspace Regulation Group
Civil Aviation Authority
Aviation House
Gatwick Airport South
W Sussex
RH6 0YR
Tel: [redacted]
E-mail: [redacted]@caa.co.uk

CONFIDENTIAL PROPRIETARY / TRADE SECRET / COMMERCIALLY SENSITIVE INFORMATION.

I hope you have been well. I have a couple of question I’m hoping you or your fellow colleges can assist with.

1. In the coming months we are planning on filing a promotional video for Prime Air, showcasing some of our technology and vehicles. This will be conducted within the confines of our currently approved flight space. With respect to approvals do we need any special authorizations to film our vehicles for promotional use?

2. Would you and your team be interested in visiting our test site during the filming of this promotional video? This may be a good opportunity for you see our updated facility and preview our daily operational workflow.

3. Do you have an update on our recent EVLOS [redacted] submission? There was an unfortunate mix up relating to payment that resulted in a substantial delay. Any updates would be helpful on our end.

Thank you in advance for the help. Look forward to syncing up.

Mobile – [redacted]
Office – [redacted]

“Don’t confuse motion with action” – B. Franklin
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Some of my former life flying must have rubbed off... and - I'll drop you a line soon re follow up on UTM.

Cheers,

From my mobile

On Apr 24, 2017, at 11:25, <amazon.co.uk> wrote:

Thanks for attending today’s meeting. We appreciate your time and input. I apologize for the static. We will be in touch.

Cheers.

-----Original Appointment-----

From: 
Sent: Friday, March 03, 2017 2:32 AM
To: 
Cc: 
Subject: DfT / CAA / Prime Air Sync

When: Monday, April 24, 2017 3:00 PM-4:00 PM (UTC+00:00) Dublin, Edinburgh, Lisbon, London.

Where: Voice Conference

Agenda:

- Introduction(s) - All
- Customer trial update – Prime Air
- 
- EASA draft regulations – Prime Air
- UK drone consultation doc – Prime Air
- Unmanned Traffic Management – Prime Air
- UK Government Drone Program – DFT
- Additional Topics (as needed)
Just following up on our... Any update would be helpful. Thanks and enjoy the weekend.

I hope you’re doing well. I’m following up regarding our... Talk to you soon.

“Don’t confuse motion with action” – B. Franklin
Hi,

I guess that one of us will be there but we haven’t specifically decided who as yet.

Intelligence, Strategy and Policy
Safety and Airspace Regulation Group
Civil Aviation Authority
Aviation House
Gatwick Airport South
W Sussex
RH6 0YR
Tel: 
E-mail: @caa.co.uk

Greetings Gents,
Hope all is well in your world. Will one or both of you by chance be in Cologne for the July EASA workshop? Would like to nail down a date to update you on the program and revisions. Thanks,
Yes Ok, speak to you then,

Intelligence, Strategy and Policy
Safety and Airspace Regulation Group
Civil Aviation Authority
Aviation House
Gatwick Airport South
W Sussex
RH6 0YR
Tel: [redacted]
E-mail: [redacted]@caa.co.uk

Note - EASA (in effect the ‘European CAA’) has recently published a consultation document regarding the shape of future UAS regulation in Europe – this will have a direct effect on UAS regulation within the UK in the future and hence is an important document that everyone should read and respond to. The consultation documents can be found here: https://www.easa.europa.eu/document-library/notices-of-proposed-amendment/npa-2017-05

How does Tuesday at 3pm work for you?

Ok,

contact details are [redacted]@caa.co.uk tel [redacted]

Yes, I’m around at Gatwick most of next week (as far as I know at the moment…….). Only currently planned to be away Friday morning at the moment
Note - EASA (in effect the ‘European CAA’) has recently published a consultation document regarding the shape of future UAS regulation in Europe – this will have a direct effect on UAS regulation within the UK in the future and hence is an important document that everyone should read and respond to. The consultation documents can be found here https://www.easa.europa.eu/document-library/notices-of-proposed-amendment/npa-2017-05

From: [mailto:amazon.co.uk]
Sent: 18 May 2017 16:11
To: @amazon.co.uk
Subject: RE: Sync Up - Prime Air

No worries. Do you have contact info?

Are you still available for a quick chat sometime early next week? Want to get your initial thoughts on a potential.

From: [mailto:caa.co.uk]
Sent: Thursday, May 18, 2017 8:06 AM
To: @amazon.co.uk
Subject: RE: Sync Up - Prime Air

Hi,

Sorry for the delay in replying – is dealing with your (although he has a load of other things to deal with as well) so I’d suggest that he is the best person to speak to at the moment for an update.

I’ve passed on your message below to him.
Note - EASA (in effect the ‘European CAA’) has recently published a consultation document regarding the shape of future UAS regulation in Europe – this will have a direct effect on UAS regulation within the UK in the future and hence is an important document that everyone should read and respond to. The consultation documents can be found here https://www.easa.europa.eu/document-library/notices-of-proposed-amendment/npa-2017-05

From: [mailto:amazon.co.uk]
Sent: 16 May 2017 23:24
To: [email]
Subject: Sync Up - Prime Air

Hello,

I hope your well. I wanted to see if you had some time this week or next to set up a call to sync up on a couple of topics. Specifically our [email], and regulatory insight into a proposed [email]. I’ll be available anytime from 3pm onward. Let me know what day and time works best for you. Look forward to talking.

Mobile: [email]

“Don’t confuse motion with action” – B. Franklin

**********************************************************************
Before Printing consider the environment.

This e-mail and any attachment(s) are for authorised use by the intended recipient(s) only. It may contain proprietary material, confidential information and/or be subject to legal privilege. If you are not an intended recipient then please promptly delete this e-mail, as well as any associated attachment(s) and inform the sender. It should not be copied, disclosed to, retained or used by, any other party. Thank you.

We cannot accept any liability for any loss or damage sustained as a result of software viruses. You must carry out such virus checking as is necessary before opening any attachment to this message.

Please note that all e-mail messages sent to the Civil Aviation Authority are subject to monitoring / interception for lawful business.

**********************************************************************
Ok, that’s fine, speak tomorrow

Note - EASA (in effect the ‘European CAA’) has recently published a consultation document regarding the shape of future UAS regulation in Europe – this will have a direct effect on UAS regulation within the UK in the future and hence is an important document that everyone should read and respond to. The consultation documents can be found here [https://www.easa.europa.eu/document-library/notices-of-proposed-amendment/npa-2017-05](https://www.easa.europa.eu/document-library/notices-of-proposed-amendment/npa-2017-05)

Afternoon,

I've pushed our sync to tomorrow so we could accommodate scheduling conflicts. You should have the updated invite in your inbox. Talk to you tomorrow.

- Sent from Nexus Device
BVLOS

UAVE Ltd
Qinetiq
West Wales Airport
Metropolitan Police Service
Callen Lenz Associates

EVLOS

The University of Manchester
Defence Science and Technology Laboratory
Istar Ltd
Callen Lenz Associates
Sensat Surveying Ltd
Trigger Image Ltd
Blue Bear Systems Research Ltd
Caintech Ltd
GMFRS
ATEC 3-d Ltd
West Mercia & Warwickshire Police
John Lord
Hummingbird Technologies Ltd
Cyberhawk Innovations Ltd
PSNI Airsupport Unit
Survey Scotland
Simon Knight
Evi Technologies Ltd

In addition, the following operators have held approvals for shorter periods over the past 12 months, for specific trials or exercises, but they are no longer valid.

Fly Logix Ltd (BVLOS)
Boeing (BVLOS)
Blue Bear Systems Research Ltd (BVLOS)
Lockheed Martin UK Integrated Systems (BVLOS)
Salus UAV (EVLOS)
Home Office CAST (EVLOS)