

Dear Rod, thank you very much for the information and the need for such a resilience plan is fully supported.

My only initial comment is on para 3.17 and the term “very major” and would have thought “serious” would have perhaps have been a better term to use?

Any contingency plan developed must involve stakeholders of course as there will be a cost in providing additional services/facilities to negate the loss of NATS facilities. The intention is obviously very important, given the potential enormous costs and disruption to normal operations.

I look forward to contributing to the discussion and development of such plans.

Regards Colin Hume AOC consultant.