

Information for ADR entities approved by the CAA (including guidance on regulatory and additional information requirements)

CAP 1390



Published by the Civil Aviation Authority, 2017

Civil Aviation Authority, Aviation House, Gatwick Airport South, West Sussex, RH6 0YR.

You can copy and use this text but please ensure you always use the most up to date version and use it in context so as not to be misleading, and credit the CAA.

First published 2016

Second edition published July 2017 Third edition published February 2019

Enquiries regarding the content of this publication should be addressed to: niva.dimitrova@caa.co.uk

The latest version of this document is available in electronic format at <u>www.caa.co.uk</u>, where you may also register for e-mail notification of amendments.

Contents

Contents2
Foreword
Note on contents3
Guidance on regulatory and additional information requirements4
Introduction4
Complaints data4
Reports Required5
Appendix A6
Extracts from the Alternative Dispute Resolution for Consumer Disputes (Competent
Authorities and Information Regulations 2015 (as amended)6
Schedule 5 Regulation 11(2)6
Schedule 6 Regulation 11(3)7
Appendix B8
Annual and two-yearly reports8
Appendix C 22
Data reporting by ADR entities22

Foreword

Note on contents

This document currently contains the CAA's guidance on regulatory and additional information requirements for ADR entities approved by the CAA.

Guidance on regulatory and additional information requirements

Introduction

This document sets out the requirements of the CAA as regards the data which ADR entities must be able to record and report upon for aviation schemes.

The document is divided into 2 parts:

- 1. **Complaints data** data that ADR entities must be able to record and report upon on request by the CAA on a complaint-by-complaint basis.
- Reports required this includes matters required under the Regulation and the terms of CAA approval.

Complaints data

At Appendix C we have provided a complete description of the data that ADR entities must be able to record and report upon, on a complaint-by-complaint basis, on request by the CAA. Typically, at the mid-point of every calendar quarter, the CAA will request data from each ADR entity covering the complaints received and, separately, complaints closed, in the previous calendar quarter. However, ADR entities must ensure that their systems can report on this information on request by the CAA and covering different time periods.

ADR entities must also ensure that they are able report on the details of any penalties imposed on a member business (including the name of the business) for non-compliance with ADR outcomes.

ADR entities must also ensure that the CAA can access individual consumer complaint files for the purpose of carrying out its broader consumer protection role and the enforcement of consumer protection legislation.

Reports Required

There are a number of matters which must be reported on by ADR entities annually, and every 2 years, which are set out in the Regulations. Appendix A provides a copy of Schedules 5 and 6 of the ADR Regulations, setting out what ADR entities must submit to their competent authorities. The annual reports (Schedule 5) must also be published on their websites. Competent authorities may require these reports to be in a certain format. The CAA does require a certain format - please see Appendix B.

Appendix A

Extracts from the Alternative Dispute Resolution for Consumer Disputes (Competent Authorities and Information Regulations 2015 (as amended)

Schedule 5 Regulation 11(2)

Information to be included in an ADR entity's annual activity report-

- a) the number of domestic disputes and cross-border disputes the ADR entity has received;
- b) the types of complaints to which the domestic disputes and cross-border disputes relate;
- c) a description of any systematic or significant problems that occur frequently and lead to disputes between consumers and traders of which the ADR entity has become aware due to its operations as an ADR entity;
- any recommendations the ADR entity may have as to how the problems referred to in paragraph (c) could be avoided or resolved in future, in order to raise traders' standards and to facilitate the exchange of information and best practices;
- e) the number of disputes which the ADR entity has refused to deal with, and percentage share of the grounds set out in paragraph 13 of Schedule 3 on which the ADR entity has declined to consider such disputes;
- f) the percentage of alternative dispute resolution procedures which were discontinued for operational reasons and, if known, the reasons for the discontinuation;
- g) the average time taken to resolve domestic disputes and cross-border disputes;
- h) the rate of compliance, if known, with the outcomes of the alternative dispute resolution procedures;
- i) the co-operation, if any, of the ADR entity within any network of ADR entities which facilitates the resolution of cross-border disputes.

Schedule 6 Regulation 11(3)

Information which an ADR entity must communicate to the relevant competent authority every two years-

- a) the number of disputes received by the ADR entity and the types of complaints to which the disputes related;
- b) the percentage share of alternative dispute resolution procedures which were discontinued before an outcome was reached;
- c) the average time taken to resolve the disputes which the ADR entity has received;
- d) the rate of compliance, if known, with the outcomes of its alternative dispute resolution procedures;
- e) any recommendations the ADR entity may have as to how any systematic or significant problems that occur frequently and lead to disputes between consumers and traders could be avoided or resolved in future;
- f) where the ADR entity is a member of any network of ADR entities which facilitates the resolution of cross-border disputes, an assessment of the effectiveness of its co-operation in that network;
- g) where the ADR entity provides training to its ADR officials, details of the training it provides;
- h) an assessment of the effectiveness of an alternative dispute resolution procedure offered by the ADR entity and of possible ways of improving its performance.

Appendix B

Annual and two-yearly reports

Annual report

For the purposes of compliance with Regulation 11 (and Schedule 5) of The Alternative Dispute Resolution for Consumer Disputes (Competent Authorities and Information) Regulations 2015 (as amended)

ADR entity name:

Date of publication on ADR entity's website:	
Time period covered in this report:	
Date submitted to the CAA:	

1. The number of domestic disputes and cross-border disputes the ADR entity has received:

2. The types of complaints to which the domestic disputes and cross-border disputes relate:

EU Regulation 261 / 2004		
Complaint type		Number of Complaints
	Cancellation – right to care	

Cancellation - information	
Cancellation - compensation	
Cancellation - refund	
Cancellation – alternative flight	
Cancellation - expenses	
Delay – right to care	
Delay – information	
Delay - compensation	
Delay – refund	
Delay – alternative flight	
Delay – expenses	
Denied Boarding – selection for	
Denied Boarding – right to care	
Denied Boarding - information	
Denied Boarding - compensation	
Denied Boarding - refund	
Denied Boarding – alternative flight	
Denied Boarding - expenses	
Diverted	
Downgraded	
Article 9 (3) – Right to Care for persons with reduced mobility /	
unaccompanied children	
Article 11 – persons with reduced mobility or special needs	
Other	
Total	

EU Regulation 1107 / 2006	
Complaint type	Number of complaints
Refusal to accept a reservation	
Refusal to embark a passenger with a reservation	
Pre-notification not recorded / transmitted	
Staff attitude and behaviour	
Information concerning a flight	
Transport of mobility equipment	
Seating	
Seating of accompanying persons in a seat next to the PRM	
Assistance dogs	
Moving to the onboard toilet	
Damaged and lost mobility equipment	
Other	
Total	

Other		
Complaint type		Number of complaints
	Medical issues	
	Missed connections	
	Tickets & fares	
	In-flight facilities and services	
D	elayed / damaged / lost / stolen baggage	
	Cabin baggage	
	Safety	
	Booking problems	

Complaint process	
Schedule changes	
Other	
Total	

 A description of any systematic or significant problems that occur frequently and lead to disputes between consumers and traders of which the ADR entity has become aware due to its operations as an ADR entity:

4. Any recommendations the ADR entity may have as to how the problems referred to in '3' above could be avoided or resolved in future, in order to raise traders' standards and to facilitate the exchange of information and best practices:

5. The number of disputes which the ADR entity has refused to deal with, and percentage share of the grounds on which the ADR entity has declined to consider such disputes:

Total number of disputes which the ADR entity has refused to deal with:....

Ground of refusal (as applicable)	% share (of all refused)
Outside scope	
Not an airline which is contracted to ADR scheme	
Complainant not waited for sufficient time (as per scheme rules) for airline to respond	
No attempt to contact airline by complainant	
Dispute frivolous / vexatious	
Dispute considered by another ADR body / court	
Over monetary threshold	
Deadlock letter / non-reply too long ago	
Would impair effective operation of the ADR entity	

6. The percentage of alternative dispute resolution procedures which were discontinued for operational reasons and, if known, the reasons for the discontinuation:

Reason for discontinuation (as applicable)	% share (of all discontinued)
Complainant out of contact	
Complaint withdrawn by complainant	
Consumer is believed by the ADR entity to have provided false or fraudulent information or documents (at any stage).	

The trader has misled the ADR entity with regard to a	
ground that may or may not exist for refusing to accept or	
continue with the resolution of a dispute.	
The consumer has been abusive to an ADR official of the ADR	
entity.	
Both the consumer and the trader agree, including where a	
conflict of interest has been identified and it is not possible for the	
reasons referred to in this policy to transfer the ADR procedure to	
another ADR entity approved by the CAA	

7. The average time taken to resolve domestic disputes and cross-border disputes:

.....

8. The rate of compliance, if known, with the outcomes of the alternative dispute resolution procedures:

9. The co-operation, if any, of the ADR entity within any network of ADR entities which facilitates the resolution of cross-border disputes:

.....

.....

.....

Two-Yearly report

For the purposes of compliance with Regulation 11 (and Schedule 6) of The Alternative Dispute Resolution for Consumer Disputes (Competent Authorities and Information) Regulations 2015 (as amended)

ADR entity name:
Date of publication on ADR entity's website:
Time period covered in this report:
Date submitted to the CAA:

1. The number of disputes received by the ADR entity and the types of complaints to which the disputes related:

EU Regulation 261 / 2004	
Complaint type	Number of complaints
Cancellation – right to care	
Cancellation - information	
Cancellation - compensation	
Cancellation - refund	
Cancellation – alternative flight	
Cancellation - expenses	
Delay – right to care	
Delay – information	
Delay - compensation	
Delay – refund	
Delay – alternative flight	
Delay – expenses	
Denied Boarding – selection for	
Denied Boarding – right to care	

Total	
Other	
Article 11 – persons with reduced mobility or special needs	
unaccompanied children	
Article 9 (3) – Right to Care for persons with reduced mobility /	
Downgraded	
Diverted	
Denied Boarding - expenses	
Denied Boarding – alternative flight	
Denied Boarding - refund	
Denied Boarding - compensation	
Denied Boarding - information	

EU Regulation 1107 / 2006		
Complaint type	Number of complaints	
Refusal to accept a reservation		
Refusal to embark a passenger with a reservation		
Pre-notification not recorded / transmitted		
Staff attitude and behaviour		
Information concerning a flight		
Transport of mobility equipment		
Seating		
Seating of accompanying persons in a seat next to the PRM		
Assistance dogs		
Moving to the onboard toilet		
Damaged and lost mobility equipment		

Other	
Total	

Other		
Complaint type	Number of complaints	
Medical issues		
Missed connections		
Tickets & fares		
In-flight facilities and services		
Delayed / damaged / lost / stolen baggage		
Cabin baggage		
Safety		
Booking problems		
Complaint process		
Schedule changes		
Other		
Total		

2. The percentage share of alternative dispute resolution procedures which were discontinued before an outcome was reached:

Reason for discontinuation (as applicable)	% share (of all discontinued)
Complainant out of contact	
Complaint withdrawn by complainant	
Consumer is believed by the ADR entity to have provided false	
or fraudulent information or documents (at any stage).	
The trader has misled the ADR entity with regard to a ground	
that may or may not exist for refusing to accept or continue with	
the resolution of a dispute.	

The consumer has been abusive to an ADR official of the ADR entity.	
Both the consumer and the trader agree, including where a conflict of	
interest has been identified and it is not possible for the reasons	
referred to in this policy to transfer the ADR procedure to another	
ADR entity approved by the CAA	

3. The average time taken to resolve the disputes which the ADR entity has received:

4. The rate of compliance, if known, with the outcomes of its alternative dispute resolution procedures:

.....

5. Any recommendations the ADR entity may have as to how any systematic or significant problems that occur frequently and lead to disputes between consumers and traders could be avoided or resolved in future:

.....

6. Where the ADR entity is a member of any network of ADR entities which facilitates the resolution of cross-border disputes, an assessment of the effectiveness of its co-operation in that network:

7. Where the ADR entity provides training to its ADR officials, details of the training it provides (covering the period since last two-yearly report):

.....

8. An assessment of the effectiveness of an alternative dispute resolution procedure offered by the ADR entity and of possible ways of improving its performance:

Appendix C

Data reporting by ADR entities

The following table sets out the data that ADR entities must be able to record and report upon on request by the CAA on a complaint-by-complaint basis.

Field	Definition	Permissible entries
Reporting period	Reporting period date ¹	-
Case Id (Parent)	Id used to identify primary and secondary complaints that form part of the same case.	_
Complaint Id (Child)	Unique complaint Id	-
Primary/secondary complaint	Primary/secondary complain indicator	Primary Secondary
Case closed flag	Case closed flag	Open Closed ²
Case status	Case status	Adjudicated Settled Terminated ³ In progress ⁴
Complete complaint file obtained	Complete complaint file obtained indicator	Yes No
Date case opened	Date case opened	-
Date complaint file received	Date complaint file received ⁵	-
Date case closed	Date case closed ⁶	-
Airline or Airport	Type of entity complaint made against	Airline Airport

¹ Entered as a date - first day of reporting period.

² Closed cases are cases that have either been not accepted, have been refused, discontinued, or settled or cases that have received a final determination.

³ Use for cases that have not been accepted, have been refused, or have been discontinued.

⁴ Use for cases that are still being processed and have not yet reached the stage where they can be categorised as "Adjudicated", "Settled" or "Terminated".

⁵ Use 'null' if the case is open and the complete complaint file has not yet been obtained.

⁶ The date on which the action to close the case was taken – i.e. the date on which it was decided to not accept the case, to refuse the case, to discontinue the case, to settle the case, or the date the final determination was issued in respect of the case. Use 'null' if the case is open.

Airline or Airport name	Airline or Airport name	Supplied list of ADR airlines & airports
Airline or Airport IATA code	Airline or Airport IATA code	Supplied list of ADR airlines & airports IATA codes
	Complaint category (airports)	EU Regulation 1107/2006
		EU Regulation 261/2004
Complaint category	Complaint category (airlines)	EU Regulation 1107/2006
		Other
		Cancellation
		Delay
		Denied boarding
	Complaint types for EU Regulation 261/2004	Diverted
		Downgraded
		Right to care for (Art. 9(3))
		PRMs (Art. 11)
		Refusal to accept reservation
		Refusal to embark a passenger with a reservation
		Pre-notification not recorded/transmitted
Complaint type		Staff attitude and behaviour
		Information concerning a flight
	Complaint types for EU Regulation 1107/2006	Transport for mobility equipment
	(Airlines)	Seating
		Seating of accompanying persons in a seat next to the PRM
		Assistance dogs
		Moving to the onboard toilet
		Damaged and lost mobility equipment
		Other
	Complaint types for EU Regulation 1107/2006	Assistance through airport; onto aircraft; disembarkation
	(Airports)	Treatment by staff

]	Facilities for passengers with disabilities, including toilets
		Other Medical issues (e.g. fitness to fly issues not covered under Regulation
		1107/2006) Missed connections
		Tickets and fares (e.g. name change, printing boarding pass, Airport Development Fee, 'sequential ticketing')
		In-flight facilities and services (e.g. meals, entertainment)
		Delayed / damaged / lost /stolen baggage
	Complaint types for "Other"	Cabin baggage
		Safety
		Booking problems (e.g. premium cost phone line, charges wrong price, prices increased during booking process, added extras)
		Complaint process
		Schedule changes (not covered by Reg 261/2004
		Other
Complaint sub-type (EU Regulation 261/2004)	Complaint sub-types for EU Regulation 261/2004*	Right to care
		Information
		Compensation
		Refund
		Alternative flight
		Expenses

Decision		Complaint not accepted / refused
	ADR body's decision	Complaint discontinued
		Complaint accepted and not discontinued
		Outside scope
		Airline not contracted to ADR scheme
		Complainant not waited sufficient time for airline to respond
		No attempt to contact airline by complainant
Grounds of refusal	Grounds of refusal	Dispute frivolous / vexatious
		Dispute considered by another ADR body / court
		Over monetary threshold
		Deadlock letter / non-reply too long ago
		Would impair effective operation of the ADR entity
		Complainant out of contact
		False / fraudulent information / documents
Reason for discontinuation	Reason for discontinuation	The trader has misled with regard to a ground for refusing to accept or continue
		Consumer has been abusive
		Consumer and the trader agree (including where a conflict of interest)
		Complaint withdrawn

Outcome of accepted complaint (as applicable)	Outcome of accepted complaint	Monetary Monetary and vouchers Vouchers only Apology only
		Practice/policy change
		No remedy due
Monetary settlement currency	Monetary settlement currency	ISO 4217 currency code
Monetary settlement amount	Monetary amount paid out as a settlement ⁷	-
Date remedy due	Date remedy due ⁸	-
Late payment reported by consumer Late payment	Late payment reported	Yes
		No

⁷ This field should not include the value of any vouchers that formed part of the settlement. This field should not include prefixes or any non-numeric characters.

⁸ Use 'null' if the case has not yet been adjudicated / settled and therefore there is no date yet at which the remedy is due.