EASA

Comment Response Tool

You can save this page as HTML and then open it in Microsoft Word for further editing.

Title	CS GENERIC MMEL for other-than-complex motor-powered aeroplanes
NPA Number	NPA 2012-09

UK CAA (European.Affairs@caa.co.uk) has placed **8** unique comments on this NPA:

Cmt	Segment description	Pag	Comment	Attachm
12	B. Draft rules — I. Draft decision — CS GENERIC MASTER MINIMUM EQUIPMENT LIST — APPENDICES — Appendix IV — Item list — ATA 30 — Ice & rain protection	43 - 45	**Page No:** 43 **Paragraph No:** 30-00-1, Inertial Separators **Comment:** CS-MMEL and TGL26 contain entries for the position indicating system only. Is it the intention of this entry to provide relief for the separators or the position indicating system, or both? **Justification:** Clarity.	
13	B. Draft rules — I. Draft decision — CS GENERIC MASTER MINIMUM EQUIPMENT LIST — APPENDICES — Appendix IV — Item list — ATA 30 — Ice & rain protection	43 - 45	**Page No:** 43 - 44 **Paragraph No:** 30-31-1, Pitot Heating System **Comment:** There appears to be no difference in the provisos between -1A and -1B, whereas -1A requires one system to be operative with Rectification Interval 'B' but -1B allows all to be inoperative with Rectification Interval 'B'. **Justification:** Clarity.	
14	B. Draft rules — I. Draft decision — CS GENERIC MASTER MINIMUM EQUIPMENT LIST — APPENDICES — Appendix IV — Item list — ATA 30 — Ice & rain protection	43 - 45	**Page No:** 44 - 45 **Paragraph No:** 30-31-3, Static Port Heating System **Comment:** Items -3A (CAT) and -3C (NCO/SPO) are identical and could be combined, subject to consideration of the following comment. Item -3B is more restrictive than -3A because it requires one system to be operative (versus 0 in -3A) and the Rectification Interval is 'B' (versus 'C' in -3A). However, the provisos are the same. Is the intention to have a longer Rectification Interval if one system is operative? **Justification:** Clarity.	
15	B. Draft rules — I. Draft decision — CS GENERIC MASTER MINIMUM	48 - 50	**Page No:** 49 **Paragraph No:** 33-42-1, Anti-Collision Light System **Comment:** Item -1A (CAT), the relief appears to be too	

	EQUIPMENT LIST — APPENDICES — Appendix IV — Item list — ATA 33 — Lights		simplistic. The statement "Any in excess of one may be inoperative" seems to be related to individual fuselage (beacon/strobe) and white strobe lights but the relief, as written, is actually for a complete system. Is this intended? Also, it appears that for item –1B (NCO/SPO), all lights must be operative for night operations. Is this intended? **Justification:** Clarity.	
16	B. Draft rules — I. Draft decision — CS GENERIC MASTER MINIMUM EQUIPMENT LIST — APPENDICES — Appendix IV — Item list — ATA 33 — Lights	48 - 50	**Page No:** 49 **Paragraph No:** 33-43-1, Wing Illumination Light **Comment:** Relief for daylight operations, as provided by CS-MMEL, has no restriction on flight in icing conditions. Why has such a restriction been added to the Generic MMEL? **Justification:** Clarity.	
17	B. Draft rules — I. Draft decision — CS GENERIC MASTER MINIMUM EQUIPMENT LIST — APPENDICES — Appendix IV — Item list — ATA 34 — Navigation	51 - 64	**Page No:** 52 **Paragraph No:** 34-10-3, Turn & Slip Indicator **Comment:** Sub-item -1D makes reference to 'inclinometer'. This should be 'turn indication'. **Justification:** Correction to reference.	
18	B. Draft rules — I. Draft decision — CS GENERIC MASTER MINIMUM EQUIPMENT LIST — APPENDICES — Appendix IV — Item list — ATA 34 — Navigation	51 - 64	**Page No:** 59 **Paragraph No:** 34-43-1, Class B TAWS **Comment:** The first relief allows the complete system to be inoperative for 120 calendar days. However, individual functions may only be inoperative for much more limited periods, e.g. 3 days, 10 days. This is not understood. **Justification:** Clarity.	
19	B. Draft rules — I. Draft decision — CS GENERIC MASTER MINIMUM EQUIPMENT LIST — GUIDANCE MATERIAL TO CS-GENERIC-MMEL — GM1 GEN.MMEL.107 Status of provided data	73	**Page No:** 73 **Paragraph No:** GM1 GEN.MMEL.107(a), Status of provided data **Comment:** The first part of this paragraph is written as a statement of fact: ".....means that the MEL is not less restrictive than.....". This should be re-written as an instruction. **Justification:** Clarity. **Proposed Text:** ".....means that the MEL may not be less	

			restrictive than.....”.	

Page viewed on 2012-11-06 16:13:16 GMT Copyright © 2005-2012 EASA