

Post Implementation Review Feedback Form

Title: Gatwick SAM and KENET SIDs	Post Implementation Review Feedback
ACP Ref: SID truncation requests 59 and 60 (Runway 26 and 08) ACP-2017-65	Approval Date: 22/02/2018
Decision Letter: KK SAM 2M 2V KENET 2M 2V (26) KK SAM 3Z KENET 1Z (08) KK SAM 1X KENET 1X (26)	Implementation Date: 24/05/2018

1. Did the original proposal meet the intended objectives as described on the CAA's decision letter to approve the change?	Yes
If no, please provide additional comments...	
2. Did the original proposal meet any conditions described on the CAA's decision letter to approve the change?	Yes
If no, please provide additional comments...	
3. Did the Sponsor receive any observations from community stakeholders, aviation stakeholders or the Ministry of Defence from the 12 months following implementation?	No
If yes, please provide additional comments...	

Name of individual	[REDACTED]
Position	Airspace Change Specialist
Date	21/04/2020

Post Implementation Review Feedback Form

For CAA use only.

Has the Sponsor indicated that the original proposal met the objectives as described in the CAA's decision to approve the change?	Yes
Has the Sponsor indicated that the original proposal met any conditions as described in the CAA's decision to approve the change?	Yes
Has the Sponsor highlighted any observations from community stakeholders, aviation stakeholders or the Ministry of Defence?	Yes

Sign Off	
Does the CAA confirm that this scaled post implementation review concludes the review?	No
Signed: [REDACTED]	
Name: [REDACTED]	
Principal Airspace Regulator	
Date: 28/04/2020	
Comments: Where NATS have indicated that they have had no feedback from Aviation Stakeholders. We are aware from correspondence from NATS that there has been some issues around aircraft being able to locate certain WPs on these SIDs since being truncated and that some work arounds have been introduced. Please can you provide further information on these ACPs in terms of feedback from stakeholders as the responses in the PIR feedback forms do not appear to accord with information we have previously been made aware of.	